

(Part 1) Algorithm development

Profile of effective droplet radius Ice optical thickness and effective radius Aerosol-contaminated clouds 0.67, 0.87, 1.24, 1.6, 2.1 μm

S3_olci	S3_slstr
S4	S2_msi
S-5p	S-5p

(Part 2) Verification of Aerosol and Cloud for Sentinel-5 Precursor

755-775 nm Aerosol layer height Cloud top height Cloud optical thickness



Credits

IUP Bremen L. Lelli, L. Mei, V.V. Rozanov, M. Vountas, A.A. Kokhanvosky, M. Jaeger, J.-P. Burrows

DLR Oberpfaffenhofen S. Gimeno-Garcia, R. Lutz, D. Loyola

KNMI De Bilt A.F.J. Sanders, M. Sneep, O. Tuinder









Profile of effective cloud droplet radius



longitude, degrees

7,0

6.0

5,0



MODIS Collection 06







Cloud regarded as a *block* of pure water droplets and aerosol particles

Cloud Absorbing Index

$$CAI = \frac{\tau_{cloud} + \omega_{aer} \tau_{aer}}{\tau_{cloud} + \tau_{aer}}$$



Aerosol-contaminated clouds





Goals of aerosol verification for TROPOMI on Sentinel-5 precursor

Assess feasibility of using the oxygen A-band to retrieve height of aerosol layers and applicability to various aerosol types.

Algorithms (0₂A-band 755-775 nm)

• Prototype: DISAMAR (KNMI)

Aerosol model: H-G with g = 0.7 and SSA = 0.95 Profile parameterization: elevated scattering layer with assumed geom. thickness 2-parameter retrieval: AOT and aerosol layer height

See poster 31 (and paper on S-5p special issue on AMT): Sanders, A.F.J. et al.: "Operational aerosol products for Sentinel-5 Precursor: Aerosol Layer Height and UV Aerosol Index"

 Verification: SACURA and (planned) SCIATRAN-OE (IUP-Bremen) Heritage of cloud retrieval, based on analytical parameterization of RT in the geometric optics limit. Aerosol model: Mie, AERONET climatology (Dubovik, 1996; Muñoz, 2002)



Eyjafjallajöküll 7 May 2010





Eyjafjallajöküll 7 May 2010





Goals of cloud verification for TROPOMI on Sentinel-5 precursor

Assess biases in

- **Cloud height** with clouds as scattering instead of ideal Lambertian layers
- Cloud optical thickness / albedo

Algorithms (O₂A-band 755-775 nm, IPA Marshak et al., 1995)

- Prototype (Lambertian & Scattering): ROCINN (DLR) Retrieval of Cloud Information using Neural Networks (Loyola et al., 2007, 2010)
- Verification (Scattering): SACURA (IUP-Bremen) Semi-Analytical CloUd Retrieval Algorithm (Rozanov and Kokhanovsky, 2004; Lelli et al., 2012)
- Additional (Lambertian): FRESCO (KNMI) Fast Retrieval Scheme for Clouds from the O₂A-band (Koelemeijer et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2008)



Example: GOME-2 Cloud height, July 2009

Mean CH





GOME-2 July 2009 CTH SACURA (IUP-UB)



GOME-2 July 2009 CTH FRESCO (KNMI)



1-σιγμα CH

GOME-2 November 2009 CTH STDV ROCINN (DLR)



GOME-2 July 2009 CTH STDV SACURA (IUP-UB)



GOME-2 July 2009 CTH STDV FRESCO (KNMI)



ROCINN Lambertian 3.73 ± 1.62 km

SACURA scattering 5.21 ± 2.02 km

FRESCO Lambertian 3.60 ± 1.77 km

Universität Bremen SACURA (scattering) – ROCINN / FRESCO (Lambertian)



Multi-layer clouds



Multi-layer clouds

Universität Bremen

ROCINN vs SACURA vs FRESCO: land / water

WATER

Synthetic: FRESCO, SACURA

FRESCO Lambertian

Fig. 2, p. 1336 from "Evaluation of SCIAMACHY Oxygen A band cloud heights using Cloudnet measurements"

Wang and Stammes (AMT, 2014) (see also Poster 86)

SACURA Scattering

Synthetic: FRESCO, SACURA

Surface albedo 0.0

Mie scattering cloud, optically thick 10, placed at 4-5 km

Residuals for Stokes I (and Q) systematic < 0.5%

Generation with SCIATRAN of a synthetic cloud data set for permutation of significant geophysical parameters (157760 spectra)

Surface albedo 0.5

Parameter [unit]	Value
Surface albedo [-]	0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0
Cloud bottom height [km]	1.0, 4.0, 9.0
Cloud top height [km]	2.0 – 15.0, with 1.0 km step
Cloud optical thickness [-]	1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10.0, 30.0, 50.0
Solar zenith angle [deg]	0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75
Viewing zenith angle [deg]	0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75
Relative azimuth [deg]	0, 45, 90, 135, 180

Synthetic: ROCINN scattering, SACURA

Assumed COT [-]

- Altitude of **elevated aerosol layers** can be retrieved
- With a **Lambertian** cloud model, cloud altitude is **underestimated**
- Multi-layer scenes are interpreted as lower single-layer clouds
- With a scattering cloud model, information on cloud bottom altitude becomes available from the O₂A-band
- Cloud fraction plays little role in the retrieval of cloud-top height, given surface albedos < 0.2
- Biases in cloud-top height can be mitigated when using **synergistic** information from the **SWIR**

- Altitude of **elevated aerosol layers** can be retrieved
- With a Lambertian cloud model, cloud altitude is underestimated
- Multi-layer scenes are interpreted as lower single-layer clouds
- With a scattering cloud model, information on cloud bottom altitude becomes available from the O₂A-band
- Cloud fraction plays little role in the retrieval of cloud-top height, given surface albedos < 0.2
- Biases in cloud-top height can be mitigated when using **synergistic** information from the **SWIR**

Back-up slides

MERIS smile-corrected cloud top height

Correlations

ROCINN

July 2009

November 2009

Average number of photon scatterings (<N>) for reflection and transmission and single scattering albedo for a 2-layer cloud system in the oxygen A-band (761 nm)

