

Closing the error budget of atmospheric data comparisons: An essential prerequisite for accurate and informed satellite validation

T. Verhoelst, J. Granville, D. Hubert, A. Keppens, and J.-C. Lambert Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, (BIRA-IASB) Brussels, Belgium Contact: Tijl.Verhoelst@aeronomie.be, J-C.Lambert@aeronomie.be

Belgisch Instituut voor Ruimte-Aeronomie (BIRA) Institut d'Aéronomie Spatiale de Belgique (IASB) Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB) Belgisch Instituut voor Ruimte-Aeronomie (BIRA) Institut d'Aéronomie Spatiale de Belgique (IASB) Belgian Ins-



Rationale

With ever-increasing atmospheric EO data accuracy and resolution, proper interpretation of validation results requires now a corresponding effort to better understand the comparison error budget. Missing components of this budget include uncertainties of metrological nature: spatial and temporal co-location mismatch in presence of atmospheric gradients and variability, differences in horizontal and vertical smoothing of atmospheric gradients (cfr. the sketch on the right-hand side), and differences in pseudo-global sampling of patterns. To this end, we present a versatile metrology simulator for atmospheric remote sensing systems: OSSSMOSE, Observing System of Systems Simulator for Multi-missiOn Synergies Exploration. A few applications illustrate how the system can be used to analyze co-location mismatch, smoothing differences and representativeness issues.







References

Von Clarmann, T. et al., AMT v2, p47, 2009 Coldewey-Egbers, M. et al., AMTD v8, p4607, 2015 Lambert, J.-C. et al., ISSI book, ch9, p177, 2012 Verhoelst, T. et al, submitted to AMTD, 2015



alternative validation strategies.

Work funded by:

BELSPO/ProDEx projects A3C and ACROSAT EC H2020 project GAIA-CLIM