The atmospheric composition geostationary satellite constellation for air quality and climate science: Evaluating performance with Observation System Simulation Experiments (OSSEs)

David Edwards, Jérôme Barré and <u>Helen Worden</u> (NCAR) Arlindo da Silva (NASA GSFC)

CAR is sponsored by the National Science Foundation



# **OSSEs & the GEO constellation**

- The CEOS Atmospheric Composition Constellation activity identified joint OSSEs as a way to promote collaboration between the planned and proposed geostationary Earth orbit (GEO) missions from NASA GEO-CAPE/TEMPO, ESA Sentinel 4 & KARI GEMS
- OSSEs are extensively used by the NWP community to develop and optimize contemporary meteorological satellite instruments; now increasingly used in other fields of earth observation
- OSSEs assess the *impact of hypothetical observations on a model* analysis/forecast/inversion and provide a means to generalize on the conclusions of limited case-studies



# Funded tropospheric chemistry missions

	Europe Sentinel 4	USA TEMPO	Korea GEMS	Europe Sentinel 5 Precursor TROPOMI
Orbit	Geostationary	Geostationary	Geostationary	Low-Earth
Launch	2019	~2018	2018	2015
Domain	Europe	North America	Asia-Pacific	Global
Resolution	8km x 8km at 40N	8km x 4.5km at 35N	7 km (56 km²) at 38N	7km x 7km nadir
Revisit	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour	1 day
Payload	UV-Vis-NIR 305-500, 750-775 nm	UV-Vis 290-740 nm (tbc)	UV-Vis 300-500 nm (tbc)	UV-Vis-NIR-SWIR 270-500, 675-775, 2305-2385 nm
Species	O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , HCHO, AAI, AOD, height- resolved aerosol	O <sub>3</sub> sensitivity to lowest 2km, NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , HCHO, CHOCHO, AOD, AAOD, AAI	O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , HCHO, AOD	O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> , HCHO, CO, CH <sub>4</sub> , AAI, AOD, height-resolved aerosol

An OSSE to demonstrate value of a GEO constellation: What is the impact of the constellation observations for improving analysis and forecast of pollutant distributions?

**First experiments:** Build on experience assimilating Terra/MOPITT multispectral tropospheric CO observations that have sensitivity to the lower troposphere, and imagine similar capability for all the members of the GEO constellation

Such capability proposed for GEO-CAPE over the USA with EV CHRONOS; Europe currently plans column CO measurements from IRS accompanying Sentinel 4; currently no CO plans for the Korean platform to accompany GEMS

#### Air quality OSTE DOAL GEO-CAPE

#### Control run: Met Only assimilated



#### Assimilation run: Met + MOPITT



## **A chemical OSSE framework**



# **Experimental setup**

- Nature Run (NR): GEOS-5
  0.5° Global Mesoscale
  Simulation for summer 2006
- Instrument Simulator: Computationally efficient regression algorithm based on MOPITT multispectral observations (Worden et al., 2010)
- Control Run (CR): CESM CAM-Chem at 1° resolution
- Assimilation Run (AS): DART EAKF



 Assess the ability to observe impact of emissions over each region
 Look at importance of long range transport from one region to next
 Investigate the value of the measurements from each mission individually and together

# The Nature Run (NR)



Global Mesoscale Simulation: GMAO GEOS-5 7-km high resolution CO total column 15 July 2006

Courtesy Arlindo Da Silva, NASA GSFC

# **CO anthropogenic emissions budget**





Required state: X

# **The Observation Simulator**

2. The Forward Model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ Simulated signal:  $\mathbf{K} =$ **Measurement Sensitivity:** 

Instrument **Description** Noise:  $\mathbf{S}_{\varepsilon}$ 



∂F

∂x



#### Simulated Candidate Observations



# **Retrieval Averaging Kernels**

- Observation Simulator measurement & retrieval characteristics are represented by the Averaging Kernel (AK) and retrieval error
- However, running the full Observation Simulator in the OSSE is expensive and very involved
- Previously, CO and O<sub>3</sub> OSSEs have been simplified by assuming all observations can be represented with a few AK cases and these are used to sample the Nature Run model everywhere/all day

#### But AKs vary a lot ....

 Depend on surface characteristics, temperatures, clouds, aerosol loadings, trace gas loadings, viewing and solar angles

- realistic OSSEs need to account for this!



# **Observation simulator: Near-surface CO concentration accounting for scene-dependent measurement sensitivity**



# Scene-dependent retrieval near-surface information content – large differences between regions



#### 15 July 2006, 3pm local time

#### Barré et al., Atm. Env., accepted, 2015



# Simulated cloud coverage

Cloud coverage varies according to region with large differences affecting effective temporal coverage

Ratio

ð

cloud

free

pixels

July 2006 cloud coverage ratio

Barré et al., Atm. Env., in press, 2015



#### GMAO GEOS-5 Nature Run

#### **Emissions:**

<u>Anth:</u> merge of several inventories with EDGAR (2000) as a base (EPA/NEI, CAC, BRAVO, EMEP). <u>Fires</u>: QFED v2.2. <u>Biog:</u> MEGAN

#### **Chemistry:**

Only AeroChem: Global CO and CO2 tracers; GOCART aerosols

#### **Resolution:**

Vertical: 72 levels (Surface - 0.01hPa), Horizontal: 0.5°(0.06°)

#### NCAR CAM-Chem Control Run

Emissions: Anth/Fires: MACCity, Biog: MEGAN

<u>Chemistry:</u> MOZART "full" tropospheric chemistry Aerosols and chemistry (87 species + 16 bulk aerosols)

<u>Resolution:</u> Vertical: 30 levels (Surface - 3hPa ) Horizontal: 1°

Assimilation run over Summer 2006.

Meteorological Spin-up over May. Reduced NR resolution (0.5°) used

Barré et al., Atm. Env., in prep.

The OSSE result for the difference between the Assimilation Run (AS) and Control Run (CR) for June 26, 2006, for CO concentration after the assimilation of Simulated Candidate Observations from GEO over Europe, Asia and USA



#### DA impact relative to nature run (NR): Assimilating all 3 GEOs Monthly 200 – 1000 hPa average



**Next look at Skill Score** 

= 1 – MSE(AR-NR) / MSE(CR-NR)

SS < 0 degraded simulation SS > 0 improved simulation SS = 1 perfect simulation

#### DA impact relative to nature run: Assimilating individual GEOs Monthly 200 – 1000 hPa average

#### Assimilating **US-GEO**



1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

-1.0

2.0 2kill 2core 2kill 2core

#### Assimilating **EUR-GEO**

#### Assimilating **ASIA-GEO**

#### **First OSSE results**

- Assimilation of the GEO constellation provides a strong constraint over anthropogenic source locations
- Global constraint of CO is also strong in remote regions due to long range transport of assimilation increments
- Impacts are reduced over Asia due to increased cloud coverage limiting the number of clear observations
- Experiments are being extended with a winter case study when the CO lifetime is longer, and emissions and cloud coverage also change

#### Next steps

Expand the experiments to consider LEO (TROPOMI) measurements, AOD, tropospheric ozone and chemical correlations



NSI

CART

# Thank You!

sponsored by the National Science Foundation

## **OSSE Infrastructure: Recommendations**

- OSSEs need to account for realistic atmospheric variability: Requires evaluation of NR with observations
- OSSEs require realistic variability in measurement simulations generated from NR: Requires incorporation of sensitivities due to cloud, aerosol, trace gases, surface UV-visible reflectivity, and IR emissivity
- Simulated retrievals must include realistic range of sensitivities: Requires generation of scene-dependent AKs and errors
- OSSEs for relative performance between instruments/observation strategies may provide most reliable conclusions: Difficult to predict absolute performance of future systems compared to the current capability; requires full system evaluation with the existing observing system
- NWP experience: OSSE-based decisions have international stakeholders and experiments should be developed as joint global projects; community ownership and oversight of OSSE capability is also important for maintaining credibility

# **GEO constellation DA increments**



#### DA impact relative to nature run (NR): Assimilating all 3 GEOs Monthly 200 – 1000 hPa average



DA impact relative to nature run (NR): Assimilating all 3 GEOs Monthly 200 – 1000 hPa average

#### Skill Score = 1 – MSE(AR-NR)/MSE(CR-NR)

24 18

12

-6

-12

-18 -24

-30

SS > 0 improved simulation SS < 0 degraded simulation SS = 1 perfect simulation

Jun

**NR-AR** 



## **GEO constellation DA increments**

#### **RMS** surface increments









#### Regional Distribution of the EPA09 Ozone Sensitivity



mean column

ozone @ 70% of

local emissions

ASA



### **GEOCAPE** Atmosphere Regional/Urban OSSE

Task		Participants	Institute
1.	Urban Nature Run**	K. Pickering/C. Loughner	NASA/GSFC
2.	<b>Regional Nature Run*/DA*</b>	B. Pierce/A. Lenzen/T. Schaac	k NOAA/CIMSS
3.	Forward RT Modeling*	K. Bowman/V. Natraj/T. Kuro	osu JPL
4.	AK Regression*	D. Edwards/H. Worden	NCAR
5.	Multi-Spectral Retrieval*	L. Iraci/S. Kulawik	NASA/BAERI
6.	Nature Run Verification*	M. Newchurch/L. Wang	UAH
	* Completed in FY13	* Completed in FY14 * I	n preparation



#### **Extends previous GEOCAPE OSSE studies by:**

•Utilizing independent modeling systems for generation of the Nature atmosphere and conducting the assimilation impact experiments

•Accounting for realistic atmospheric variability, which requires evaluation of the nature runs with respect to observations.

•Inclusion of realistic variability in the synthetic radiances, which requires incorporation of realistic surface UV and visible reflectivities, and IR emissivities.

•Inclusion of realistic sensitivities, which requires generation of averaging kernels (AK) for each retrieval for use in assimilation studies

# **The OSSE Components**

- OSSEs are extensively used by the NWP community to develop and optimize contemporary meteorological satellite instruments
- Now also increasingly used in other fields of earth observation
- OSSEs assess the impact of *hypothetical* observations on a model analysis/forecast/inversion and provide a means to generalize on the conclusions of limited case-studies
  - Nature Run (NR): Model representation of 'truth'
  - Simulated Candidate Observations: The Observation Simulator samples the Nature Run
  - Control Run (CR): An alternative model representation of the atmospheric state (... this might represent current capability to provide 'ground-truth' or the 'a priori' best guess)
  - Assimilation Run (AR): Assimilation of the Simulated Candidate Observations in the Control Run
    - **Compare:** Assess impact of the Candidate Observations -Does the Assimilation Run tend to the Nature Run compared to the Control Run? If so, Candidate Observation may be useful