Stratospheric CH₄ and CO₂ profiles retrieved with Onion Peeling DOAS from SCIAMACHY solar occultation measurements



S. Noël, K. Bramstedt, M. Buchwitz, M. Hilker, P. Liebing, M. Reuter, A. Rozanov, H. Bovensmann, and J. P. Burrows

Institute of Environmental Physics/Remote Sensing, University of Bremen, FB 1, P.O. Box 330440, D-28334 Bremen, Germany

Introduction

- Stratospheric profiles of methane and carbon dioxide have been determined from solar occultation measurements of the SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY) on ENVISAT.
- These data have been retrieved using an update of the "Onion Peeling DOAS" (ONPD) method (see Noël et al., AMT, 2011), which combines an onion peeling approach with a weighting function DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) fit.

SCIAMACHY Solar Occultation Scans

Onion Peeling DOAS



- ⇒ The atmosphere is divided into horizontal layers
- ⇒ For each layer, a weighting function DOAS fit is performed
- The retrieval starts at the top layer and then propagates downwards, taking into account the results of the upper layers

- ⇒ The data cover the whole SCIAMACHY time series (August 2002 to April 2012), but due to the sun-fixed orbit of ENVISAT the solar occultation measurements are restricted to the latitudinal range between about 50°N and 70°N.
- ⇒ The new data set (V4.5.2) is part of the Climate Research Data Package (CRDP) generated in the context of the ESA GHG-CCI project.



- ⇒ SCIAMACHY spectra are interpolated to the retrieval grid before the retrieval
- \Rightarrow Fit interval: 1559 -1671 nm;
- \Rightarrow Fitted: CH₄ and CO₂ densities, polynomial offset, spectral shift & squeeze
- ⇒ p, T from ECMWF (used for linear correction of spectra before fit and VMRs)
- ⇒ Retrieval altitude grid: 0 50 km, 1 km steps (no retrieval below 10 km)
- Additional corrections applied after the retrieval to take limited spatial and spectral resolution into account, especially:
 - Vertical smoothing (4.3 km boxcar) for regularisation
 - Saturation / non-linearity corrections

Results

CH₄: Comparison with ACE-FTS Data V3 Absolute Difference SCIAMACHY - ACE Profiles **Relative Difference SCIAMACHY - ACE Profiles** mean dif ean err. (SCIA) iean err. (SCIA) -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -100 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 20 -0.1 0 40 SCIA-ACE, ppmv (SCIA-ACE)/MEAN. V4.5.2, 925 collocations Mean Profiles Correlation Coefficient SCIAMACHY - ACE Profiles ACE std. dev SCIAMACHY SCIA std. dev.

Monthly Anomalies (CH₄)



Time Series







CO₂: Comparison with CT2013





Changes 2003 - 2011 (from anomalies)



Selected References

Acknowledgements

- \Rightarrow Improved version 4.5.2 of ONPD CO₂ and CH₄ products available
- ⇒ Complete SCIAMACHY time series (August 2002 to April 2012) has been processed, incl. state 47 orbits
- ⇒ Reasonable results between about 17 and 45 km

Conclusions

- ⇒ First comparisons indicate accuracies of about:
 - 5-10% for CH₄ (compared to ACE-FTS V3)
 - 2-3% for CO₂ (compared to CarbonTracker; no other measurement data available)
- ⇒ Main issue: Vertical oscillations (specifically CO₂); probably retrieval artefact → additional regularisation required?
- H. Bovensmann et al., SCIAMACHY Mission Objectives and Measurement Modes, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 127-150, 1999.
- K. Bramstedt et al., Precise pointing knowledge for SCIAMACHY solar occultation measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Disc., 5(3), 3797-3835, 2012.
- S. Noël et al., Stratospheric methane profiles from SCIAMACHY solar occultation measurements derived with onion peeling DOAS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4(11), 2567-2577, 2011.

SCIAMACHY is a national contribution to the ESA ENVISAT project, funded by Germany, The Netherlands, and Belgium. SCIAMACHY data have been provided by ESA.

The Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE), also known as SCISAT, is a Canadian led mission mainly supported by the Canadian Space Agency and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

We thank the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) for providing us with analysed meteorological fields.

We thank Geoffrey Toon of the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory for providing the empirical solar line list used in this work.

This work has been funded by DLR Space Agency (Germany), the ESA GHG-CCI and by the University of Bremen.

See also: www.iup.uni-bremen.de



