FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Sea Ice Thickness from Altimetry Eero.Rinne@fmi.fi Rachel.Tilling.12@ucl.ac.uk





From waveforms to SIT maps



From SIT maps to time series





The interesting stuff!



4



Bit of vocabularity

- Sea ice = Frozen seawater (will taste saline if you lick it)
- Fb = Freeboard = "Height of the tip of an iceberg"
- Floe (also, ice floe) = Piece of sea ice floating in sea
- Lead = An ice free area <u>between floes</u>
- Open water (also, open ocean) = Sea area with no sea ice



Basic idea

- We measure the elevation difference between the ice and water (freeboard).
- Detect echoes from ice floes and leads
- Fit a surface to leads to interpolate water level
- Use Archimedes principle



Source: ESA CCI Sea Ice / ATBD







Figure 2-2: Flow chart for the Sea Ice Thickness Processor

Source: ESA CCI Sea Ice / ATBD

09/14/16



"The flowchart"



Figure 2-2: Flow chart for the Sea Ice Thickness Processor

Source: ESA CCI Sea Ice / ATBD



What Louise said about waveforms!

- Waveform is the received power as a function of time (and time equals range)
- Different surfaces result into different waveforms.
- Lead \rightarrow narrow and high
- Floe → diffuse



Radar waveforms from different surfaces





Different lead detection schemes

- Pulse limited (ERS RA, Envisat RA-2):
 - Pulse Peakiness alone (Laxon 2003, "The SICCI way")
- Delay-Doppler (CryoSat-2, Sentinel 3):
 - Pulse Peakiness and Stack Standard Deviation (Laxon 2013 "The UCL way")
 - PP + SSD + Left & Right Pulse Peakiness (Ricker 2013, "The AWI way")







Figure 2-2: Flow chart for the Sea Ice Thickness Processor

Source: ESA CCI Sea Ice / ATBD



Different retracking schemes

R(waveform) → *number*

- Unsurprisingly, there are several:
 - UCL, AWI, SICCI, Kurtz...
- No one best way to do this!
 - Very hard to validate
 - Accuracy, robustness, simplicity.
- Pick your poison, or make your own!







Figure 2-2: Flow chart for the Sea Ice Thickness Processor

Source: ESA CCI Sea Ice / ATBD

From elevation to freeboard



Figure 2-6: Computation of Ice Freeboard



Mean Sea Surface Height

- The geoid used by altimeters is not the MSSH.
- The curvature of local sea level will interfere with lead elevation interpolation and thus must be removed!



DTU15 Mean Sea Surface Height

Credits: Stefan Hendricks, AWI

-40 -20 60 0 20 40 Sea Surface Height (meter)



Mean Sea Surface Height

- The geoid used by altimeters is not the MSSH.
- The curvature of local sea level will interfere with lead elevation interpolation and thus must be removed!





From freeboard to sea ice thickness



Where:

 $z_i =$ Ice thickness.



 $f_{h} =$ Freeboard. $z_{s} =$ Snow depth.

 $\rho_s =$ Snow density. $\rho_w =$ Density of sea water. $\rho_i =$ Density of sea ice.



Post-processing steps

- The signal is noisy \rightarrow average!
- Grid into a convenient grid
 - There are thicknesses and thicknesses. Beware!
- Write into a convenient file format



Sources of uncertainty

- Noise
- Preferential sampling
- Radar penetration
- Ice density
- Snow





Why does it only work in the winter?

- Melt ponds will interfere with lead / floe detection
- Radar penetration is ambiguos during melting.





Validation



Figure 2. Validation of CryoSat sea ice thickness. (a) Comparison of Polar-5 aircraft EM and Cryosat-2 snow plus ice thickness over first year (**circle**) and multiyear (triangle) ice during April 2011 (open symbols) and 2012 (solid symbols). (b) Comparison of monthly average ice draft from CryoSat-2 within 200 km of the Beaufort Gyre Experiment Program Upward Looking Sonar Moorings (Mooring A: triangle, Mooring B: circle, Mooring D: square) for the period October 2010 to April 2011 and October 2011 to April 2012 (solid symbols). (c) Comparison of Operation IceBridge (OIB) aircraft laser and Cryosat-2 ice thicknesses over first year (**circle**) and multiyear (triangle) ice between 10 March 2011/12 and 9 April 2011/12 (solid symbols are data from 2012). Both aircraft comparisons were conducted by gridding CryoSat and the aircraft data onto a common (0.4 latitude by 4 longitude) grid and comparing those grid cells in which both data sets contained data. The locations of the in situ data sets are shown in Figure 1.

LAXON ET AL.: CRYOSAT-2 SEA ICE THICKNESS AND VOLUME



What about the South?





Pysiral

- A python package for altimeter sea ice thickness processing.
- Result of the ESA CCI Sea Ice project
- Open source!
- Ask me or Stefan Hendricks (AWI)

L1B Preprocesing







L3 Processing









Further reading (not complete!)

- CCI Sea Ice Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) "A cookbook for an SIT processor"
- Laxon et al.: "High interannual variability of sea ice thickness in the Arctic region", Nature 2003
- Ricker et al.: Sensitivity of CryoSat-2 Arctic sea-ice freeboard and thickness on radar-waveform interpretation, The Cryosphere 2014.
- Kurtz et al.: An improved CryoSat-2 sea ice freeboard retrieval algorithm through the use of waveform fitting, The Cryosphere 2014.
- Tilling et al.: "Near-real-time Arctic sea ice thickness and volume from CryoSat-2" The Cryosphere, 2016



Practical

- Two independent parts
 - UCL/CPOM processed SIT products
 - L1B \rightarrow freeboard



www.fmi.fi

