

ESA Cryosphere Training Course – Sept. 16, 2016 Leeds, England

Snow on land from EO

David Small

With contributions from Thomas Nagler (ENVEO), and David Jäger, Christoph Rohner, Adrian Schubert (UZH-RSL)

Outline

Snow on land from EO

- Motivation
- **Visible & Infrared**
- Microwave radiometry (active): **SAR**
	- Backscatter Normalisation Conventions
	- Radiometric Terrain Corrections
	- Backscatter Compositing
	- Sentinel-1 Examples
- Conclusions

Remote Sensing of Snow: Motivation

Knowledge of snow parameterisation important for

- Mitigating large economic impacts of snowfall events
- Snow wetness: run-off modelling: measurements and future prognoses
- Snow wetness: Sudden melt events inducing flooding
- Snow wetness: Hydrology
- Snow distribution and season length interactions with land cover
- Avalanche modelling
- Climate interactions

J. Dietz, C. Kuenzer, and S. Dech, "**Global SnowPack: a new set of snow cover parameters for studying status and dynamics of the planetary snow cover extent**," Remote Sens. Lett., 6(11), pp. 844–853, Sep. 2015.

D. R. DeWalle and A. Rango, **Principles of Snow Hydrology**. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Multi-sensor approaches

Multiple sensors each have own strengths and weaknesses:

- § VIS/IR
- § Microwave (active & passive)
- Airborne Laser Scanning (ALS)

A. J. Dietz, C. Kuenzer, U. Gessner, and S. Dech, "**Remote sensing of snow – a review of available methods**," Int. J. Remote Sens., vol. 33, no. 13, pp. 4094–4134, Jul. 2012.

Strengths and weaknesses of respective measurements, e.g.:

- available at night?
- distorted in presence of steep topography?

Difficult in past to integrate e.g. VIS/IR and SAR over large regions due to lack of co-temporal products with similar resolutions / relatively homogenous properties

Future: integrate required 'harmonised' measurements, harnessing all strengths?

Visible / Infra-red

Composite Products

- Assemble wide-area coverage using window
- Well-established for optical remote sensing
- https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_di

- Composite usage a recognised remedy
	- Clouds are a **spatio-temporal** phe to interpreting single optical image
- For SAR data, topography introduces different image acquisition due to:
	- gradients in terrain (*spatial*)
	- variations in imaging geometry dep
- Composite products could help resolve
- Yet no widely-established standard SAR

Remote Sensing of Snow: VIS/IR

Active Microwave: Synthetic Aperture Radar

Snow and Dielectric Constant: Attenuation in wet snow

F. T. Ulaby, W. H. Stiles, and M. Abdelrazik, "**Snowcover Influence on Backscattering from Terrain**," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., vol. GE-22, no. 2, pp. 126–133, Mar. 1984.

W. I. Linlor, "**Permittivity and attenuation of wet snow between 4 and 12 GHz**," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2811–2816, May 1980.

Active Microwave: Synthetic Aperture Radar

SAR: Backscatter Normalisation Conventions

Seasonal prioritization of SAR observation windows

Backscatter coefficients [dB] are *ratio of scattered to incident power* over a given **area**:

Known: transmitted & received power P_t & P_r

Derive: incident & scattered power *Pi* & *Ps* from *Pt* & *Pr*

$$
\beta^{0} = k \cdot \frac{f_{2}(P_{r})}{f_{1}(P_{r})} \cdot \frac{1}{A_{\beta}} \qquad \sigma_{E}^{0} = k \cdot \frac{f_{2}(P_{r})}{f_{1}(P_{r})} \cdot \frac{1}{\underline{A}_{\sigma}} \qquad \gamma_{E}^{0} = k \cdot \frac{f_{2}(P_{r})}{f_{1}(P_{r})} \cdot \frac{1}{\underline{A}_{\gamma}}
$$

Standard Areas for Normalisation

Ground Illuminated Area

Backscatter coefficients are *relative* **to** *isotropic* **scattering**

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

An idealised **isotropic** scatterer will scatter *equally in all directions*

Real Imaged Objects

- can tend to scatter more **forward** than back to the sensor, focussing energy away from the measurement
	- are darker, generating **negative** *dB* values
- can focus energy **back** towards the sensor (e.g. through corner reflections), generating **positive** *dB* backscatter

 $(\gamma_{\text{wet}}^0 - \gamma_{\text{ref}}^0)$ [dB]

When difference between candidate image backscatter and dry reference image is lower than -3dB, classify as wet snow

Developed for ERS-1 geometries, VV-pol.

Relies on **exact repeat tracks** (e.g. 35-day ERS repeat) to avoid corruption e.g. by terrain-induced effects

- N. Longépé, S. Allain, L. Ferro-Famil, E. Pottier, and Y. Durand, "**Snowpack Characterization in Mountainous Regions Using C-Band SAR Data and a Meteorological Model**," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 406–418, Feb. 2009.
- T. Nagler and H. Rott, "**Retrieval of wet snow by means of multitemporal SAR data**," IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 754–765, Mar. 2000.
- N. Baghdadi, Y. Gauthier, and M. Bernier, "**Capability of Multitemporal ERS-1 SAR Data for Wet-Snow Mapping**," Remote Sens. Environ., vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 174–186, May 1997.

Normalising σ for *terrain*

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{0},\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E}^{0},\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E}^{0}$

are each usable and widely used to normalise the backscatter σ , but one main problem remains:

Each of β^0 , σ^0 , γ^0 vary with the local terrain situation (forest on a hill *foreslope* is brighter than forest on *flat* ground, which is brighter than forest on a hill *backslope*)

Local Incident-angle Mask (LIM)

The most common slope-normalisation methodology found in the literature is fails to account for **non-homomorphic** (one to many correspondence) nature of relationship between Earth coordinates (map geometry) & slant range geometry (native sensor acquisition process)

Normalisation for local variation of ground scattering area expressed in map geometry:

$$
\sigma_T^0 \triangleq \sigma_{NORLM}^0 = \sigma_E^0 \cdot \frac{\sin \theta_{LM}}{\sin \theta_E}
$$
 Kellndorfer et al., TGRS,
Sept. 1998.

Kellndorfer et al., TGRS,

No one-to-one correspondence between slant range and map geometries on **fore-** and **back**-slopes

Relating *received* to *transmitted* power:

$$
\overline{P}_r = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3} \int_{\text{area}} \frac{P_r G^2}{R^4} \cdot \sigma^0 dA
$$
\nUlaby, Moore, Fung, J
\nI982.

\nStandard equation of:
$$
\sigma_E^0 = \beta^0 \cdot \sin \theta_E
$$

uses an *ellipsoid Earth model* approximation as a standard normalisation area - using ellipsoidal incidence angle *θE* as a **proxy for area**

• For radiometric terrain correction, we need to actually *perform the integration* on a DEM

The concept of a *single Local Incident Angle* determining the terrain's local normalisation area is **flawed**:

- old concept adapted from ellipsoidal incident angle for ocean, sea-ice, & *flatlands*
- fails to account for:
	- \blacksquare shadow
	- § foreshortening
	- **layover**

Improve sensor model:

- ➡ use local contributing *area*, not angle!
- ➡ and measure that area using the *gamma* convention

Radiometric Normalisation Conventions

Sentinel-1 Acquisition Modes

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

Terrain-flattened Gamma Nought

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

Dept. of Geography / R

Sentinel-1A: GTC (Geometrically Terrain Corrected)

-26dB -1dB

Generated automatically from 3 IW GRDH products using SRTM3

Copernicus Sentinel data (2015)

Dept. of Geography / R

Sentinel-1A: RTC (Radiometrically Terrain Corrected)

-26dB -1dB

Generated automatically from 3 IW GRDH products using SRTM3

 ${\gamma}{_E}$

•Less shadow than single RTC, lower noise

Interlaken, Switzerland

Composite

Revisit Interval: Breaking the tyranny of exact repeat passes

For *Regular Intervals* with temporal resolution better than repeat-pass interval

- Use moving time-window integrating information from all tracks
- •The more (diverse!) data (and tracks) the better esp. combine ascending and descending observations

Composites in RGB Time Series

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

-26dB -6dB

R=2015.01.02+03 / G=2015.01.14+15 / B=2015.02.07+08 ; (each Asc. + Desc.)

Contains modified

Copernicus

Contains modified
Copernicus
Sentinel data (2015)

Sentinel data (2015)

35

Interlaken, Switzerland *Freezing*: Higher backscatter in Feb. than Jan.: Blue

Composites in RGB Time Series

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

-26dB -6dB

R=2015.02.07+08 / G=2015.04.08+09 / B=2015.05.26+27 ; (each Asc. + Desc.)

Contains modified
Copernicus
Sentinel data (2015) Sentinel data (2015) Contains modified Copernicus

Interlaken, Switzerland *Melting*: Lower backscatter in May than Feb/Apr.: Yellow

Composites in Time Series Movie

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

-26dB -1dB

Copernicus

Sentinel data (2015)

Jan – May 2015

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories Evolution of Snow Cover in the US [IGOS Cryosphere Theme Report, 2007]

Fig. 3.1. Percentage of snow-covered area within the conterminous U.S. during the 2003-2004 season with corresponding unique snow depths and SWE.

Swiss Seasonal Hydrology: 1971-2012 Daily SWE plotted by elevation

Melting generally captured at significant elevations between Feb. 15 and May 15

Key General Recommendations of *WMO White Paper* on **SAR Acquisition Planning for Terrestrial Snow Monitoring**

Science Requirements for wide area snowmelt monitoring

Spatial **resolution**: *100m* ✔

[Malenovský, Z. et al. *Sentinels for science: Potential of Sentinel-1, -2, and -3 missions for scientific observations of ocean, cryosphere, and land*. Remote Sens. Environ. 120, 91–101 (2012)]

Temporal **resolution (target)**: *1 day* ✘

- "Observation of the *daily geographic extent of snow cover* is essential because it enables inference of several first order effects of snow on many Earth systems." [IGOS Cryosphere Theme Report, 2007]
- WMO PSTG report "Coordinated SAR Acquisition Planning for Terrestrial Snow Monitoring", PSTG-SARCWG-SNOW-001, Aug. 2014.

Data Collections

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories Contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data (2015)

S1A IW VH & VV-pol. Oct. 2014 – Aug. 2016: 12d & 16d windows **Jan.-Aug. 2016 VH** 16d shown here

SRTM3 used for geometric and radiometric corrections

Contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data (2015)

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

Sentinel-1 IW 16d Composites 2015 VH: March 14-29, April 7-22, May 25-June 9; -23dB (black) to -6dB (white)

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories Contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data (2015)

S1A IW 2015 VH & VV-pol.

S1-based wet snow classifications compared with NASA MODIS snow products

Multichannel Intensity Filt

Ratio Calculation

quartile) for Sentinel-1 *VV*- and *VH*- polarized channels in dependence on local incident angle. Test area Ötztal.

Confusion matrix for the classes snow (S) and snow-free, for snow classification based on Landsat (LS) and Sentinel-1 (S1) data. S1 results are shown for snow maps based on . — overall agreement rate ().

Figure courtesy Thomas Nagler

Monitoring melting snow using Sentinel-1

Nagler et al., Remote Sensing, 2016 *Figure courtesy Thomas Nagler*

Kytalyk, Siberia

Sentinel-1 EW HH-pol. Backscatter

Kytalyk, Siberia

Sentinel-1 EW HH-pol. Backscatter

Kytalyk, Siberia

Sentinel-1 EW HH-pol. Backscatter

Groundbased sensing

e.g. Phenocam in Kytalyk, Siberia

Movie courtesy G. Ghielmetti, UZH-RSL

Coastal British Columbia Backscatter Composites

S1A IW VV

12 day delta 24 day window

N.B.

Increased dualpol VV/VH acquisitions in last months)

Ellesmere Island Backscatter Composites

Dept.

RS2 SCWA HH

UTM Northing [km]

2 day delta 4 day window

N.B. 8 bit radiometry CDEM

May – Aug. 2015

 $\emph{RADARSAT-2 Data}$ and Products @ MacDonald, Dettwiler and Associates Ltd. (2015) - All Rights Reserved. *RADARSAT is an official trademark of the Canadian Space Agency.*

Dept.

Ellesmere Island Backscatter Composites
RS2 SCWA

RS2 SCWA HV

4 day delta 8 day window

N.B. 8 bit radiometry CDEM

 $\frac{1}{6}$

May – Aug. 2015

 $\emph{RADARSAT-2 Data}$ and Products @ MacDonald, Dettwiler and Associates Ltd. (2015) - All Rights Reserved. *RADARSAT is an official trademark of the Canadian Space Agency.*

Ellesmere Island 9100 **Backscatter Composites**

S1A EW HV

2 day delta 4 day window

N.B. HH also available CDEM

May – Sept. 2015

Dept. of G

Ellesmere Island, Canada

Sentinel-1 EW **4d** Composite HV: May 24-27, June 29-July 2, July 23-26

 $\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\scriptscriptstyle \vee}$ 0 HV-pol.

Dept. of G

Ellesmere Island, Canada

Sentinel-1 EW **4d** Composite HV: July 25-28, Aug. 12-15, Aug. 24-27

 $\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\scriptscriptstyle \vee}$ 0 HV-pol.

Backscatter Composites

Ø **Demonstrations of Local Resolution Weighting with Sentinel-1A & Radarsat-2**

- § Geometric and radiometric effects of topography strongly reduced
- Backscatter composite product properties more homogenous across product, also in presence of terrain

Ø **Sensor Integration**

- § **Not limited to a single sensor**: Local Resolution Weighting (LRW) useful for integrating multi-track and multi-mode, but also multi-sensor data streams (e.g. S1 + RS2)
- § **Higher time-resolution coming**: Width of time window can be *narrowed* while still supporting full coverage as more data becomes available (S1B opening this month, RCM-1, -2, -3 in 2018?)

Ø **Importance of Calibration**

§ Composite LRW backscatter stable due to dependable and highly accurate S1A geometric and radiometric calibration

Conclusions

- Ø **Snow wetness clear strong signal in C-band SAR imagery**
- Ø **Snow depth and Snow Water Equivalent (SWE) currently not accessible in single-date C-band SAR data**
- Ø **Series of Sentinel-1 satellites opening a new era of multimodal multiwavelength data integration**
	- § Contributions from other data suppliers (NASA/USGS, CSA, JAXA, DLR, ASI) welcome
		- E.g. SARs: Radarsat-2, Radarsat Constellation Mission, TerraSAR-X, Cosmo-Skymed, PAZ
			- Future: Paz, NiSAR, TanDEM-L
		- E.g. VIS/IR: MODIS, Landsat, Sentinel-2, Sentinel-3

University of Zurich^{uzH}

Dept. of Geography / Remote Sensing Laboratories

Contains modified **Copernicus** Sentinel data (2015)

Acknowledgments

Thanks for support from N. Miranda, ESA-ESRIN – EOP-GMQ T. Jonas, WSL-SLF

For Sentinel-1 datasets, thanks to ESA/Copernicus (http://sci.univ.int/ Radarsat-2 data via SOAR programme and MURF with Env. Canada