

M. Tedesco – Columbia University and NASA GISS

SNOW AND MELTING FROM EO



 1st ESA ADVANCED TRAINING COURSE

 ON REMOTE SENSING OF THE CRYOSPHERE

 12-16 September 2016 University of Leeds | Leeds, UK

1

M. Tedesco

Topics covered by others

- These topics will not be covered for snow over ice sheets because already covered by others during the course. Some of the techniques presented in the following might have already be covered during those classes.
- Ice sheet and ice shelf altimetry
- Altimetry mass balance
- Ice sheet mass balance and GRACE
- Snow on land and sea ice snow



OUTLINE

WHAT ?

- Melting
- Accumulation
- Albedo
- Grain size and impurities



OUTLINE

M. Tedesco

WHAT ?

- Melting
- Accumulation
- Albedo
- Grain size and impurities

HOW ?

- Active and passive microwave
- Optical and thermal remote sensing
- Lidar and radar applications
- GRACE



METHODS AND TOOLS: ACTIVE AND PASSIVE MICROWAVE REMOTE SENSING







Passive Microwave Radiometry

- Microwave region: 1-200 GHz (0.15-30cm)
- Uses the same principles as thermal remote sensing
- Multi-frequency/multi-polarization sensing
- Weak energy source so needs large IFOV and wide bands



Passive Microwave Radiometry

- Microwave radiometers can measure the emitted spectral radiance received (L_{λ})
- This is called the brightness temperature and is linearly related to the kinetic temperature of the surface
- The Rayleigh-Jeans approximation provides a simple linear relationship between measured spectral radiance temperature and emissivity



Brightness Temperature

Brightness temperature is the temperature a **black body** in thermal equilibrium with its surroundings would have to be to duplicate the observed intensity of a grey body object at a frequency *f*.

Brightness temperature can be related to kinetic temperature through emissivity

$$T_b = \varepsilon T_{kin}$$

Thus, passive microwave brightness temperatures can be used to monitor temperature as well as properties related to emissivity



Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of ice

Real part of permittivity of ice is relatively constant (3.15) mostly a function of temperature, with T expressed in [K]*:

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_{ice}) = \frac{3.099T - 992.65}{T - 318.896}$$

Imaginary part of permittivity of ice depends on both frequency and temperature:

$$\operatorname{Im}(\varepsilon_{ice}) = \frac{A(T)}{f} + B(T)f$$

f is the frequency in GHz and A(T) and B(T) are temperature-dependent parameters.

*) A. Stogryn, "A study of the microwave brightness temperature of snow from the point of view of strong fluctuation theory", IEEE Trans. On Geo. And Rem. Sens., Vol. GE-24, No. 2, March 1986, pp 220-231





Imaginary part of ice permittivity as a function of temperature for different frequencies (5 GHz, 10.7 GHz, 18 GHz and 35 GHz) for Stogryn's model*



Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of ice

M. Tedesco

The loss factor ε'' of ice as a function of frequency. The solid curves display the model proposed by Hufford. Measured data: open diamonds, Westphal, closed circles Wegmüller, crosses, Lamb, open triangles, Lamb and Turney, open circles, Cumming, closed aquares, Mätzler, dashed curve Mishima, open squares, isolated measurements.







Dielectric Constant and density

- Dry snow can be seen under an electromagnetic point of view as a mixture of ice and air. Its permittivity depends, therefore, on the permittivities of the single constituent materials and on their fractional volume.
- The real part of dry snow permittivity can be considered constant with frequency and temperature and it strongly depends on the fractional volume



Fractional volume



Dielectric Constant and density

Empirical relation	Remarks	
$\epsilon' = (1 + 0.851\rho)^2$	freq≥ 10 MHz	
$\epsilon' = (1+0.508\rho)^{3}$	freq = 9.375 GHz	
$\epsilon' = 1 + 1.582/(1 - 0.365\rho)$	freq = 1 GHz, $\rho \le 0.45$	
$\epsilon' = 1 + 2.22\rho + 0.41\rho^{2}$	freq = 3.48 MHz	
$\epsilon' = 1 + 2\rho$	$4 \le \text{freq} \le 12 \text{ GHz}$	
$\epsilon' = 1 + 1.9 \rho$	$3 \le \text{freq} \le 18 \text{ GHz}, \rho \le 0.5$	1 eue
$\epsilon' = 0.51 + 2.88 \rho$	$\rho \ge 0.45$	SCO IVI. ,
$ε' = 1+1.92ρ+0.44 ρ^2$	$0.01 \le \text{freq} \le 1 \text{ GHz}, \rho \le 0.65$	
$\epsilon' = 1 + 1.7 \rho + 0.7 \rho^{2}$	freq = 1 GHz	



Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of DRY snow

• Sihvola proposes a mixing formula, generalizing the existing mixing formulas for granular media. In the case of ellipsoids isotropically oriented in the vacuum we have

$$\varepsilon = 1 + \frac{f(\varepsilon_s - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\varepsilon_a}{\varepsilon_a + A_i(\varepsilon_s - 1)}}{3 - f(\varepsilon_s - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{A_i}{\varepsilon_a + A_i(\varepsilon_s - 1)}}$$

where A_i is the depolarisation factor for the ith principal axis and ϵ_a is the so called apparent permittivity

$$\varepsilon_a = 1 + a(\varepsilon - 1)$$



Sihvola, 1999

Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of DRY snow

$$\varepsilon_a = 1 + a(\varepsilon - 1)$$

The formula is able to reproduce measured data with a very good accuracy (standard deviation 0.0064) when the depolarisation factor A ($A=A_1=A_2$ and $A_3=1-2A$) is given by:

$$A = \begin{cases} 0.1 + 0.5f \dots 0 < f < 0.33\\ 0.18 + 3.24(f - 0.49)^2 \dots 0.33 \le f < 0.71\\ 1/3 \dots f > 0.71 \end{cases}$$

And a = (1-A)



M. Tedesco

A ADVANCED TRAINING COURSE

Sihvola, 1999

Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of wet snow

- Wet snow can be seen a mixture of ice, air and water which can appear as free or bounded.
- Under a dielectric point of view, wet snow is more difficult than dry snow. One reason for this is that dielectric contrasts are very large in the mixture but at the same time the amount of liquid water is low.
- As a consequence, small changes in the distribution and small-scale structure of the water phase can cause large deviations on the wet snow permittivity.

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{ds} + \Delta \varepsilon$$

 where the first term is the permittivity of the dry snow and the second term takes into account of the effects of the presence of liquid water



Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of wet snow $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{ds} + \Delta \mathcal{E}$

The second term can be expressed as a combination of the wetness, with coefficients to be fitted from experimental data.

	Empirical relation	Remarks
	$\Delta \varepsilon = 0.206 * Wv + 0.0046 Wv^2$	0.01≤freq≤1 GHz
	$\Delta \varepsilon = 0.02^* Wv + (0.06 - 3.1^* 10^-)$	4≤freq≤12 GHz
	4 (freq-4) ²)Wv ^{1.5}	
	$\Delta \varepsilon = 0.089 \mathrm{Wv} + 0.0072 \mathrm{Wv}^2$	freq = 1 GHz
	$\epsilon'' = 0.073 * (\epsilon')^{1/2} Wv/freq$	4≤freq≤12 GHz
	$\epsilon'' = 0.009*Wv+0.0007Wv^2$	Freq = 1 GHz
	$\epsilon'' = c(\text{freq})*Wv^{1.5}$	
AMONT-DO ARTH OBSE	RVATORY OLUMBIA UNIVERSITY M. Tedesc	

Example of melting snow micro-structure







17

M. Tedesco

Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of wet snow (spherical particles covered by water film)

The effective permittivity of a spherical particle with permittivity ε₁ and radius r1 covered by a film of a material with permittivity ε₂ and radius r2 can be computed as

$$\frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} = \frac{(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_0)(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + S(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_0 + 2\varepsilon_2)}{(\varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon_0)(\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2) + 2S(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_0)(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)}$$



Wher $S = a_1/a_2$ where a_1 and a_2 are, respectively, the inner and the outer radii of the sphere

Sihvola, 1999



Dielectric Constant/Emissivity of wet snow

- From an electromagnetic point of view snow can be seen as a mix of air, ice and liquid water (in the case of wet snow)
- Several mixing formulas have been proposed in the literature

Host medium

 $\varepsilon^b = \varepsilon^b_h (1 - f) + \varepsilon^b_s f$

Scatterers permittivity

Fraction (by volume)

Since the dry snow permittivity is of the order of while the water inclusions have a permittivity that can be 40 times larger, even when the liquid water content is on the order of only 1% by volume, the spectral behavior of wet snow is dominated by the dispersion behavior of water

$$\varepsilon = \left(\varepsilon_{ds}^{1/3}(1 - Wv) + \varepsilon_{watere}^{1/3}Wv\right)^3$$

In case of wet snow and b = 3



M. Tedesco



Sihvola, 1999

Examples of wet snow permittivity



Behavior of imaginary part of wet snow permittivity using the DMRT with fractional volume f = 0.25 and different radii or wetness





Examples of wet snow permittivity



Real and imaginary part of the effective permittivity of wet snow as a function of wetness at 6 and 37 GHz for a fixed fractional volume and grain radius (f = 0.25 and a = 0.5 mm)





M. Tedesco

Passive Microwave Remote Sensing from Space

Advantages

- Penetration through nonprecipitating clouds
- Radiance is linearly related to temperature (i.e. the retrieval is nearly linear)
- Highly stable instrument calibration
- Global coverage and wide swath
- Sensitive to sub-surface processes (e.g., allows snow depth, wetness estimates)

Disadvantages

- Larger field of views (10-50 km) compared to VIS/IR sensors
- Variable emissivity over land
- Polar orbiting satellites provide discontinuous temporal coverage at low latitudes (e.g., need to create weekly composites)
- Strong sensitivity to wetness (saturates → doesn't allow



Spaceborne Microwave Radiometers

- Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU) 1978-present
- Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR) 1981-1987
- Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I SSMIS) 1987-present
- Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS (AMSR-E) 2002-2011
- Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer/2 (AMSR2) 2012 to present
- TRMM-TMI
- WindSAT
- SMOS
- AQUARIUS
- GPM

Parameter	SMMR (Nimbus-7)	SSM/I (DMSP-F08,F10,F11,F13)	AMSR-E (Aqua)	AMSR (ADEOS-II)
Time Period	1978 to 1987	1987 to Present	Beginning 2001	Beginning 2002
Frequencies (GHz)	6.6, 10.7, 18, 21, 37	19.3, 22.3, 36.5, 85.5	6.9, 10.7, 18.7, 23.8, 36.5, 89.0	6.9, 10.65, 18.7, 23.8, 36.5, 89.0, 50.3, 52.8
Sample Footprint Sizes (km):	148 x 95 (6.6 GHz) 27 x 18 (37 GHz)	37 x 28 (37 GHz) 15 x 13 (85.5 GHz)	74 x 43 (6.9 GHz) 14 x 8 (36.5 GHz) 6 x 4 (89.0 GHz)	74 x 43 (6.9 GHz) 14 x 8 (36.5 GHz) 6 x 4 (89.0 GHz)

M. Tedesco





Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I - SSMIS) 1987-present

M. Tedesco



Channel	Center Frequency (GHz)	3-db Width (MHz)	Frequency Stability (MHz)	Polarization	ΝΕΔΤ (K) ^I	Sampling Interval (km) ^{II}	Channel Application
1	50.3	380	10	V	034	37.5	LAS
2	52.8	389	10	V	0.32	37.5	LAS
3	53.596	380	10	V	0.33	37.5	LAS
4	54.4	383	10	V	0.33	37.5	LAS
5	55.5	391	10	V	0.34	37.5	LAS
6	57.29	330	10	RCPIV	0.41	37.5	LAS
7	59.4	239	10	RCP	0.40	37.5	LAS
8	150	1642(2) ^{III}	200	н	0.89	12.5	IMA
9	183.31 ± 6.6	1526(2)	200	н	0.97	12.5	IMA
10	183.31 ± 3	1019(2)	200	н	0.67	12.5	IMA
11	183.31 ± 1	513(2)	200	Н	0.81	12.5	IMA
12	19.35	355	75	Н	0.33	25	ENV
13	19.35	357	75	V	0.31	25	ENV
14	22.235	401	75	V	0.43	25	ENV
15	37	1616	75	Н	0.25	25	ENV
16	37	1545	75	V	0.20	25	ENV
17	91.655	1418(2)	100	V	0.33	12.5	IMA
18	91.655	1411(2)	100	Н	0.32	12.5	IMA
19	63.283248 ± 0.285271	1.35(2)	0.08	RCP	2.7	75	UAS
20	60.792668 ± 0.357892	1.35(2)	0.08	RCP	2.7	75	UAS
21	60.792668 ± 0.357892 ± 0.002	1.3(4)	0.08	RCP	1.9	75	UAS
22	60.792668 ± 0.357892 ± 0.0055	2.6(4)	0.12	RCP	1.3	75	UAS
23	60.792668 ± 0.357892 ± 0.016	7.35(4)	0.34	RCP	0.8	75	UAS
24	60.792668 ± 0.357892 ± 0.050	26.5(4)	0.84	RCP	0.9	37.5	LAS





Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS (AMSR-E) 2002-2010

AMSR-E Imager on the EOS Aqua Satellite



NASA /	MSFC

Polarization		Horizontal and vertical				
Incidence angle		55°				
Cross-polarization		Le	ess than	-20 dB		
Swath			1445	km		
Dynamic Range (K)			2.7 to 3	340		
Precision	1 Κ (1σ)					
Quantifying Bit Number	12-bit 10-bit					
Center Frequency (GHz)	6.925	10.65	18.7	23.8	36.5	89.0
Bandwidth (MHz)	350	100	200	400	1000	3000
Sensitivity (K)	0.3		0.	6		1.1
Mean Spatial Resolution (km)	56	38	21	24	12	5.4
IFOV (km)	74 x 43 51 x 30 27 x 16 31 x 18 14 x 8 6				6 x 4	
Sampling Interval (km)	10 x 10 5 x 5				5 x 5	
Integration Time (msec)	2.6 1.3				1.3	
Main Beam Efficiency (%)	95.3 95.0 96.3 96.4 95.3 96.					96.0
Beamwidth (degrees)	2.2	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.18



Swath/coverage for different PMW sensors







Microwave emissivity Dry vs. wet snow

The presence of dry snow on soil attenuates the microwave radiation naturally emitted by the soil, therefore reducing the measured brightness temperature with respect to the bare soil case (left). Higher brightness temperature values are recorded in the case of the presence of wet snow (right), which absorbs the radiation from the bottom layer and emits a signal stronger than that of the dry snow covering soil or ice.







Example of brightness temperature of dry vs. wet snow



Active microwave remote sensing

- A directed beam of microwave pulses are transmitted from an antenna
- The energy interacts with the terrain and is scattered
- The backscattered microwave energy is measured by the antenna
- Radar determines the direction and distance of the target from the instrument as well as the backscattering properties of the target







M. Tedesco

Radar Backscatter

Power received

=

Power per unit area at target

Effective scattering area of the target

Х

Х

Х

Spreading loss of reradiated signal

Effective receiving area of antenna



Radar Backscatter Coefficient

The efficiency the terrain to reflect the radar pulse is termed the "radar cross-section", **?**

The radar cross-section per unit area, (A) is called the "radar backscatter coefficient" (?) and is computed as :

$$\sigma^o = \frac{\sigma}{A}$$

The *radar backscatter coefficient* determines the percentage of electromagnetic energy reflected back to the radar from within a radar pixel

This is similar to the reflectance in optical remote sensing





Spaceborne Microwave active sensors

- TRMM PR
- RADARSAT
- ASCAT
- CloudSat
- Jason 1-2-3
- ENVISAT
- ERS
- Sentinel-1
- Cryosat-2



Backscattering of dry vs. wet snow



EXAMPLE OF TB AND BACKSCATTERING OF SNOW COVERED TERRAIN





APPLICATIONS: SNOW MELT





 1st ESA ADVANCED TRAINING COURSE ON REMOTE SENSING OF THE CRYOSPHERE

Melt detection techniques

Melting is detected when Tbs (or backscattering), or a combination of multiple frequencies and polarizations, exceeds (is below) a computed threshold value

- Diurnal Amplitude Variations (Passive MW)
- Inversion of electromagnetic models (Passive and active MW)
- XPGR (Passive MW)
- Wavelet (Passive and active MW)
- Fixed threshold (Active and Passive MW)
- Surface temperature (Thermal)



Melt detection techniques: XPGR



Melting is detected when XPGR exceeds a set threshold value (Abdalati and Steffen, 1997)



Melt detection techniques: inversion of EM models

Annual Tbs are assumed to have a bimodal distribution and the threshold value above which snow is assumed to be melting is computed as the Tb value whose probability to belong to the melting distribution is maximized





Spatial and temporal dynamic coefficients





Melting over Greenland from PMW







M. Tedesco

Melting over Greenland from PMW



Melting over Greenland from PMW





2.5

 Number of melting days and % of Greenland undergoing melting from passive microwave

Melting from thermal (MODIS) data

Maps of annual maximum melt extent constructed from MODIS IST data of the Greenland ice sheet for the study period (March 2000 through August 2012). The non-ice covered land surrounding the ice sheet is shown in green. The boundaries of the six major drainage basins of the Greenland ice sheet (after Zwally et al., 2005) are superimposed on the maps (Data and image courtesy: NASA).





Multi-sensor melt detection





Extent of surface melt over the Greenland ice sheet on a) July 8 and b) July 12. Multiple sensors (PMW, AMW, MODIS) were used. The areas classified as "probable melt" (light pink) correspond to those sites where at least one satellite detected surface melting. The areas classified as "melt" (dark pink) correspond to sites where two or three satellites detected surface melting.

Credit: NASA





Melt extent and duration over the Antarctica ice sheet (from active MW)





Steiner and Tedesco, 2015





Accumulation from passive MW observations

Arthern et al. (2006) map of Antarctic accumulation in $kg/m^2/a$ (or mm of water equivalent per year) derived from passive microwave AMSR-F data at 6.9 GHz and ice surface temperatures from the Advanced Very High **Resolution Radiometer** (AVHRR). Map created with data available from the British Antarctic Survey (http://www.antarctica.ac.uk/

/bas_research/data/online_re
sources/snow_accumulation/)

lamont-doherty





Blowing Snow: Satellite Sources



Palm et al, 2011

The blowing snow frequency (fraction) and spatial distribution over Antarctica for each month of 2009 as determined from analysis of CALIPSO data.





METHODS AND TOOLS: OPTICAL REMOTE SENSING









THE EARTH INSTITUTE AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Visible/NIR vs MW



Optical/NIR spaceborne sensors

- MODIS / MERIS / ASTER
- AVHRR
- LANDSAT
- WorldView / SPOT / Ikonos
- Hyperion
- Sentinel 2



MODIS – Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer



Orbit:	705 km, 10:30 a.m. descending node (Terra) or 1:30 p.m. ascending node (Aqua), sun-synchronous, near-polar, circular
Scan Rate:	20.3 rpm, cross track
Swath Dimensions:	2330 km (cross track) by 10 km (along track at nadir)
Telescope:	17.78 cm diam. off-axis, afocal (collimated), with intermediate field stop
Size:	1.0 x 1.6 x 1.0 m
Weight:	228.7 kg
Power:	162.5 W (single orbit average)
Data Rate:	10.6 Mbps (peak daytime); 6.1 Mbps (orbital average)
Quantization:	12 bits
Spatial Resolution:	250 m (bands 1-2) 500 m (bands 3-7) 1000 m (bands 8-36)
Design Life:	6 years



MODIS – Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer

Primary Use	Band	Bandwidth ¹	Spectral	Required
			Radiance ⁴	SNR ²
Land/Cloud/Aerosols	1	620 - 670	21.8	128
Boundaries	2	841 - 876	24.7	201
Land/Cloud/Aerosols	3	459 - 479	35.3	243
Properties	4	545 - 565	29.0	228
	5	1230 - 1250	5.4	74
	6	1628 - 1652	7.3	275
	7	2105 - 2155	1.0	110
Ocean Color/	8	405 - 420	44.9	880
Phytoplankton/	9	438 - 448	41.9	838
Diogeochemistry	10	483 - 493	32.1	802
	11	526 - 536	27.9	754
	12	546 - 556	21.0	750
	13	662 - 672	9.5	910
	14	673 - 683	8.7	1087
	15	743 - 753	10.2	586
	16	862 - 877	6.2	516
Atmospheric	17	890 - 920	10.0	167
Water Vapor	18	931 - 941	3.6	57
	19	915 - 965	15.0	250

Primary Use	Band	Bandwidth ¹	Spectral	Required
			Radiance ²	NE[delta]T(K) ⁴
Surface/Cloud	20	3.660 - 3.840	0.45(300K)	0.05
Temperature	21	3.929 - 3.989	2.38(335K)	2.00
	22	3.929 - 3.989	0.67(300K)	0.07
	23	4.020 - 4.080	0.79(300K)	0.07
Atmospheric	24	4.433 - 4.498	0.17(250K)	0.25
Temperature	25	4.482 - 4.549	0.59(275K)	0.25
Cirrus Clouds	26	1.360 - 1.390	6.00	150(SNR)
Water Vapor	27	6.535 - 6.895	1.16(240K)	0.25
	28	7.175 - 7.475	2.18(250K)	0.25
Cloud Properties	29	8.400 - 8.700	9.58(300K)	0.05
Ozone	30	9.580 - 9.880	3.69(250K)	0.25
Surface/Cloud	31	10.780 - 11.280	9.55(300K)	0.05
Temperature	32	11.770 - 12.270	8.94(300K)	0.05
Cloud Top	33	13.185 - 13.485	4.52(260K)	0.25
Altitude	34	13.485 - 13.785	3.76(250K)	0.25
	35	13.785 - 14.085	3.11(240K)	0.25
	36	14.085 - 14.385	2.08(220K)	0.35



LANDSAT













 Str ESA ADVANCED TRAINING COURSE ON REMOTE SENSING OF THE CRYOSPHERE 22-165eptembre 2016 University of Leeds | Leeds, UK

LANDSAT-8

Operational Land Imager (OLI)

Spectral Band	Wavelength	Resolution	Solar Irrandiace
Band 1 - Coastal / Aerosol	$0.433 - 0.453 \mu{ m m}$	30 m	2031 W/(m²µm)
Band 2 - Blue	0.450 – 0.515 <i>µ</i> m	30 m	1925 W/(m²µm)
Band 3 - Green	$0.525 - 0.600 \mu{ m m}$	30 m	1826 W/(m²µm)
Band 4 - Red	$0.630 - 0.680 \mu{ m m}$	30 m	1574 W/(m²µm)
Band 5 - Near Infrared	0.845 – 0.885 <i>µ</i> m	30 m	955 W/(m²µm)
Band 6 - Short Wavelength Infrared	1.560 – 1.660 <i>µ</i> m	30 m	242 W/(m²µm)
Band 7 - Short Wavelength Infrared	$2.100 - 2.300 \mu{ m m}$	30 m	82.5 W/(m²µm)
Band 8 - Panchromatic	$0.500 - 0.680 \mu{ m m}$	15 m	1739 W/(m²µm)
Band 9 - Cirrus	1.360 – 1.390 <i>µ</i> m	30 m	361 W/(m²µm)

Thermal Infrared Sensor

Spectral Band	Wavelength	Resolution
Band 10 - Long Wavelength Infrared	10.30 – 11.30 <i>µ</i> m	100 m
Band 11 - Long Wavelength Infrared	11.50 – 12.50 <i>µ</i> m	100 m



Worldview









Worldview

	Frequency range observed by band					
Band Name	QuickBird	WorldView-1	WorldView-2	WorldView-3		
Panchromatic	450-900nm	400-900nm	450-800nm	450-800nm		
Coastal Blue			400-450nm	400-450nm		
Blue	450-520nm		450-510nm	450-510nm		
Green	520-600nm		510-580nm	510-580nm		
Yellow			585-625nm	585-625nm		
Red	630-690nm		630-690nm	630-690nm		
Red Edge			705-745nm	705-745nm		
NIR-1	760-900nm		770-895nm	770-895nm		
NIR-2			860-1040nm	860-1040nm		
SWIR-1				1195-1225nm		
SWIR-2				1550-1590nm		
SWIR-3				1640-1680nm		
SWIR-4				1710-1750nm		
SWIR-5				2145-2185nm		
SWIR-6				2185-2225nm		
SWIR-7				2235-2285nm		
SWIR-8				2295-2365nm		





The Hyperion provides a high resolution hyperspectral imager capable of resolving **220 spectral bands** (from 0.4 to 2.5 μ m) with a **30-meter** resolution. The instrument can image a 7.5 km by 100 km land area per image, and provide detailed spectral mapping across all 220 channels with high radiometric accuracy

Link to table of bands: <u>https://eo1.usgs.gov/sensors/hyperioncoverage</u>







M. Tedesco

Hyperion vs. ASTER vs. LANDSAT





Sentinel - 2



→ SENTINEL-2

ESA's Optical High-Resolution Mission for GMES Operational Services



- Launched on June 2015
- Multi-spectral data with 13 bands in the visible, near infrared, and short wave infrared part of the spectrum
- Systematic global coverage of land surfaces from 56° S to 84° N, coastal waters, and all of the Mediterranean Sea
- Revisiting every 5 days under the same viewing angles. At high latitudes, Sentinel-2 swath overlap and some regions will be observed twice or more every 5 days, but with different viewing angles.
- Spatial resolution of 10 m, 20 m and 60 m
- 290 km field of view

Sentinel - 2

Sentinel-2 Bands	Central Wavelength (µm)	Resolution (m)
Band 1 - Coastal aerosol	0.443	60
Band 2 - Blue	0.490	10
Band 3 - Green	0.560	10
Band 4 - Red	0.665	10
Band 5 - Vegetation Red Edge	0.705	20
Band 6 - Vegetation Red Edge	0.740	20
Band 7 - Vegetation Red Edge	0.783	20
Band 8 - NIR	0.842	10
Band 8A - Vegetation Red Edge	0.865	20
Band 9 - Water vapour	0.945	60
Band 10 - SWIR - Cirrus	1.375	60
Band 11 - SWIR	1.610	20
Band 12 - SWIR	2.190	20

M. Tedesco





Sentinel – 2 vs. LANDSAT





Reflectance spectra

Reflectance spectra of several typical materials encountered in satellite imagery of glacierized terrain, and pass bands of several sensors in the visible and near-infrared (VNIR), and short-wave infrared (SWIR) parts of the spectrum.



Instrument pass bands and spectral reflectances

Grain size growth and albedo

New snow



- Melting accelerates and promotes grain growth, in turn decreasing albedo in the near infrared
- This is INVISIBLE to our eyes but extremely powerful





Impurities (e.g., soot, dust) and albedo

Pure Snow





- As snow melts, impurities contained within the snowpack are deposited on the surface
- No evidence of direct impact of atmospheric deposition or forest fires on a seasonal scale
- Still, the impact of the 'cumulative' content of impurities is
 high
 LAMONT-DOHERTY
 EARTH OBSERVATORY

Grain size vs. impurities on albedo

Increasing grain size affects mostly NIR , with visible being weakly affected Increasing the concentration of impurities affects mostly visible , with the impact on NIR being negligible



Examples of grain size estimates from MODIS

Snow grain size (mm) retrieved from MODIS TERRA data for the melting period of 2004 (April-August). Each image is obtained as a 3-day composite to cover gaps caused by clouds. The numbers give a Julian day (Reprinted from Lyapustin et al., 2009 with permission from Elsevier).





M. Tedesco

MODIS albedo anomaly maps and trends

Greenland Ice Sheet surface albedo anomaly for summer (JJA) 2015 relative to the average for those months between 2000 and 2009.



Average surface albedo of the entire ice sheet each summer (JJA) since 2000

67



M. Tedesco

ADVANCED TRAINING COURSE AOTE SENSING OF THE CRYOSPHERE Inher 2016 | University of Leeds | Leeds, UK

MODIS albedo anomaly maps and trends



Maps of JJA trends (per decade) from 1996 to 2012, when darkening began to occur, for (a) space-borne-estimated GLASS albedo



Tedesco et al. 2016





The Cryosphere Science Series

Remote Sensing of the Cryosphere

Edited by M. Tedesco

Questions ?

WILEY Blackwell