

# Remote Sensing of Snow on Sea Ice

Robert Ricker



ESA Advanced Training Course on  
Remote Sensing of the Cryosphere

Leeds, 12.09 –16.09.2016





# Outline

- Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow
- Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics
- Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products
- Validation
- The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals
- Outlook




# Outline

- **Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow**
- Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics
- Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products
- Validation
- The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals
- Outlook




# The Snow Cover of the Earth






# Snow on Sea Ice

Insulator between  
Ocean and Atmosphere




High albedo




Fresh Water Input



Freeboard-to-Thickness  
conversion



Maritime  
Operations



Biology





# Snow amplifies Sea Ice Properties

- **Thermal conductivity:**


Snow: 0.11 to 0.35 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>

Sea ice: ca. 2.3 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>

↪ × 10

- **Albedo:**


-0.45 ~ 4-fold energy entry



*D.K. Perovich (1996), The Optical Properties of Sea Ice*

-0.10 ~ 2-fold energy entry

# Snow characterizes the Sea-Ice Cover



Credit S. Arndt, AWI



# Outline

- Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow
- **Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics**
- Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products
- Validation
- The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals
- Outlook










Source: R. Ricker

# General Characteristics of Snow

- Snowflakes
- Snow Metamorphism
- Snow Grain Types:
  1. New and recent snow
  2. Fine-grained snow
  3. Wind slab
  4. Faceted grains & depth hoar
  5. Icy layers
  6. Damp/wet snow and slush



*Sturm et al. (1998), The winter snow cover of the West Antarctic pack ice: its spatial and temporal variability*

*Sturm et al. (2002), Winter snow cover on the sea ice of the Arctic Ocean at the surface heat budget of the Arctic Ocean, JGR*



# Arctic vs. Antarctic

## Arctic

Complete melt  
(even at 90°N)

Melt ponds,  
deteriorated sea ice

High latitudes,  
Basin, surrounded  
by continents

Dominated by  
radiation fluxes,  
Warm and moist lows

Seasonal  
snow cover

Surface  
processes

Geography

Meteorology

## Antarctic

Persists through summer  
(e.g. at 68°S)

Ice layers,  
superimposed ice

Lower latitudes,  
Open ocean,  
Central continent

Turbulent fluxes,  
Dry and cold wind




Credit AWI-Sea ice physics



Credit C. Haas, AWI

# Contribution to Sea Ice Mass Balance




Credit M. Nicolaus, AWI

- Absorption of short-wave radiation
  - Sub-surface warming / melting
  - Affecting biological processes (PAR activity of algae and micro organisms)




# Radar backscatter in both Polar Regions



*Haas et al. (2001): Surface properties and processes of perennial Antarctic sea ice in summer, Journal of Glaciology*

- Arctic: strong decrease followed by strong increase
- Antarctic: strong increase => Melt-freeze cycles, superimposed ice


# Formation of superimposed Ice (Summer)



Credit M. Nicolaus, AWI



# Formation of superimposed Ice (Summer)



# Outline

- Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow
- Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics
- **Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products**
- Validation
- The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals
- Outlook







# Challenges for Seasonality

	Arctic	Antarctic
Snow Climatology	✓	X
Few multi-seasonal studies	✓	X
Passive microwave snow depth product	✓	✓
Ship-based Observations data set (ASSIS, ASPeCt)	(✓)	✓

ASPeCt


[aspect.antarctica.gov.au/data](https://aspect.antarctica.gov.au/data)





Warren et al. (1999): Snow Depth on Arctic Sea Ice

# Snow Climatology by Warren et al. (1999)



Number of snow lines measured at North Pole drifting stations (**upper number** in each grid box), and number of aircraft landings providing snow depth reports in spring (**lower number**)




*Warren et al. (1999): Snow Depth on Arctic Sea Ice, Journal Of Climate*







# Interdecadal Changes in Snow Depth

IceBridge snow depth fit  
(2009–2013)



W99 fit (1937, 1954–1991)




Difference between IceBridge snow depth distribution and W99 climatology

*Webster et al. (2014): Interdecadal changes in snow depth on Arctic sea ice, JGR Oceans*




# Modified W99 Climatology

W99 (March), snow depth (cm)




Modified climatology, snow depth (m)



*Warren et al. (1999): Snow Depth on Arctic Sea Ice, Journal Of Climate*

# Characteristics of snowmelt from passive microwave satellite observations



Credit S. Arndt, AWI, modified after Willmes, 2007



# Derived Variables



- **Diurnal variation in brightness temperatures,  $dT_B$**   
EASE-Grid brightness temperature data (NSIDC),  
37 GHz, vertically polarized

- **Cross-polarized ratio, XPR**


$$XPR = \frac{T_B(19\text{GHz}, H)}{T_B(37\text{GHz}, V)}$$

- **Further data set:**  
Sea-ice concentration, SIC  
Bootstrap data (SSM/I)

*Arndt et al. (2016): Timing and regional patterns of snowmelt on Antarctic sea ice from passive microwave satellite observations, JGR Oceans*




# Method Scheme





# Spatial Variability of Snowmelt Patterns



*Arndt et al. (2016)*

- Temporary snowmelt shows a **latitudinal dependence**
- Continuous snowmelt is usually **17 days after** temporary snowmelt onset observed

# Passive Microwave Remote Sensing of Snow Depth - AMSR-E






$$h_s = 2.9 - 782 \times \text{GRV}$$

Coefficients derived from linear regression of  $h_s$  measurements and microwave data

GRV: Spectral gradient ratio corrected for the sea ice concentration

National Snow & Ice Data Center (NSIDC)

<http://nsidc.org/data>




*Markus, T. and D. Cavalieri (1998): Snow Depth Distribution over Sea Ice in the Southern Ocean from Satellite Passive Microwave Data. IN: Antarctic Sea Ice: Physical Processes, Interactions, and Variability, Antarctic Research Series*



# Passive Microwave Remote Sensing of Snow Depth - AMSR-E

- Comparison between in situ observations from *Antarctic Sea Ice Processes and Climate* (ASPeCt) and AMSR-E derived snow depth
- AMSR-E underestimates Snow Depth over rough sea ice




*Markus et al. (2011): Freeboard, snow depth and sea-ice roughness in East Antarctica from in situ and multiple satellite data, Annals of Glaciology*

# Remote Sensing of Snow Depth - SMOS

Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) satellite mission evaluates surface emissivity in L-Band




- Sea Ice, covered by a thick snow layer, is warmer than covered by a thinner snow layer
- Snow thickness estimation from horizontally polarized SMOS brightness temperatures over thick sea ice (1-1.5 m) under cold conditions



*Maaß et al. (2014): Snow thickness retrieval over thick Arctic sea ice using SMOS satellite data, The Cryosphere*

# Remote Sensing of Snow Depth - SMOS

- Mean snow depth averaged over 14–31 March 2012, compared with IceBridge snow depth retrieval



*Maaß et al. (2014): Snow thickness retrieval over thick Arctic sea ice using SMOS satellite data, The Cryosphere*



# Simple Model Simulations

$$h_s = h_{s(sf)} + h_{s(as)} + h_{s(os)} + h_{s(f)} + h_{s(ad)} + h_{s(r)}$$

Total

$h_s$ , model snow depth

Sources

$h_{s(sf)}$ , snowfall rate (9.4 cm swe  $a^{-1}$ )

$h_{s(r)}$ , residual term (snow accumulation in leads, wind redistributed, blowing snow sublimation)

Sinks


$h_{s(as)}$ , snow loss due to heat transfer between atmosphere and snow

$h_{s(os)}$ , snow loss due to heat transfer between the ocean and snow


$h_{s(f)}$ , loss of snow by flooding

$h_{s(ad)}$ , loss of snow by advection

Sources and total




Sinks



*Castro-Morales et al. (2015): Snow on Arctic sea ice: Model representation and last decade changes, The Cryosphere Discussions*

# Model Validation with OIB Snow Depth



Castro-Morales et al. (2015)





# Outline

- Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow
- Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics
- Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products
- **Validation**
- The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals
- Outlook






# In-Situ Measurements




Data Publisher for Earth &  
Environmental Science:  
<https://www.pangaea.de/>

# Snow Depth from NASA Operation IceBridge



Arctic

Antarctic




*Kurtz et al. (2012), Sea ice thickness, freeboard, and snow depth products from Operation IceBridge airborne data, The Cryosphere*




# Autonomous Stations

- Ice Mass Balance Buoys: ice and snow thickness changes, thermistor strings
- Snow Buoys




# Autonomous Stations

## Arctic



## Antarctic



Sea-Ice Portal:  
<http://data.seaiceportal.de>

# Further Buoy Data Websites providing Snow Depth

International Arctic Buoy Program (IABP):


<http://iabp.apl.washington.edu/>

International Program on Antarctic Buoy (IPAB):

<http://www.ipab.aq/>

CRREL:

<http://imb.erd.c.dren.mil/buoysum.htm>






# Outline


- Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow
- Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics
- Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products
- Validation
- **The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals**
- Outlook





# CryoSat-2 Ku-Band altimetry


- Satellite altimeters sense the **sea-ice freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming **hydrostatic equilibrium**
- **Snow depth** adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:





# CryoSat-2 Ku-Band altimetry


- Satellite altimeters sense the **sea-ice freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming **hydrostatic equilibrium**
- **Snow depth** adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:
  - it is a key parameter for the conversion



# CryoSat-2 Ku-Band altimetry

- Satellite altimeters sense the **sea-ice freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming **hydrostatic equilibrium**
- **Snow depth** adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:
  - it is a key parameter for the conversion

$$H = F_I \frac{\rho_w}{\rho_w - \rho_i} + S \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_w - \rho_i}$$




*Ricker et al. (2014): Sensitivity of CryoSat-2 Arctic sea-ice freeboard and thickness on radar-waveform interpretation, The Cryosphere*

*Giles et al. (2007): Combined airborne laser and radar altimeter measurements over the Fram Strait in May 2002, GRL*

# CryoSat-2 Ku-Band altimetry

- Satellite altimeters sense the **sea-ice freeboard**, the height of the ice surface above the water level
- Freeboard can be converted into Thickness by assuming **hydrostatic equilibrium**
- **Snow depth** adds to the uncertainty of the ice thickness retrieval in different ways:
  - it is a key parameter for the conversion
  - recent studies show that a thick snow cover can cause a significant sea-ice thickness bias due to scattering effects in the **snow volume**






*Kwok, R. (2014): Simulated effects of a snow layer on retrieval of CryoSat-2 sea ice freeboard, GRL*



# Ku-Band Radar Penetration

- Validation measurements with ASIRAS, an airborne simulator of CryoSat-2, over first- and multiyear ice, using corner reflectors (CR)




Willatt et al. (2011)

*Willatt et al. (2010), Field Investigations of Ku-Band Radar Penetration Into Snow Cover on Antarctic Sea Ice, IEEE*

*Willatt et al. (2011), Ku-band radar penetration into snow cover on Arctic sea ice using airborne data, Annals of Glaciology*


# The impact of snow on the waveform

CryoSat-2 validation lines on fast-ice in McMurdo Sound (Antarctica):



*Price et al. (2015): Evaluation of CryoSat-2 derived sea ice freeboard over fast-ice in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, Annals of Glaciology*



Different power thresholds applied on two stacked CryoSat-2 waveforms:



re-plotted, Price et al. (2015)

# An observational approach with buoy data

- Differences in gridded CryoSat-2 Arctic modal freeboard between **November 2013** and **March 2013** retrievals
- We apply three different retracker thresholds: **40 %**, **50 %** and **80 %**




*Ricker et al. (2015): Impact of snow accumulation on CryoSat-2 range retrievals over Arctic sea ice: an observational approach with buoy data, GRL*




# An observational approach with buoy data


- CryoSat-2 measurements are collected within a **50 km** radius (red circle) around a considered buoy position (red dot)




- A log-normal function is fitted to the CryoSat-2 freeboard distribution to retrieve the modal sea ice freeboard



# CryoSat-2 and coincident buoy records



- For substantial snow accumulation on multiyear ice, we estimate a thickness bias up to **1.4 m**



— Snow freeboard  
 — Ice freeboard  
 Event period

— CS-2 freeboard 40 %  
 — CS-2 freeboard 40 %  
 — CS-2 freeboard 40 %

# CryoSat-2 and coincident buoy records



- During the snow accumulation periods we only find **negative trends** for the **IMB ice freeboard** while the **IMB snow freeboard** trends are always **positive**
- Simultaneously we observe only **positive trends** for coincident **CryoSat-2 radar freeboard** estimates
- Ice dynamics in the vicinity of the buoy locations can interfere with these quantifications

accumulation on multiyear ice, we estimate a thickness bias up to **1.4 m**



- Snow freeboard
- Ice freeboard
- Event period



- CS-2 freeboard 40 %
- CS-2 freeboard 40 %
- CS-2 freeboard 40 %



# Outline


- Introduction - The far-reaching Impact of Snow
- Snow on Sea Ice - Characteristics
- Remote Sensing of Snow, Climatologies, and Products
- Validation
- The Impact of Snow on Ice Thickness Retrievals
- **Outlook**



# What can we work on?

- Systematic validation studies of current snow depth products
- Seasonal in-situ measurements of snow and surface properties (stratigraphy, density, surface roughness)
- Improving snow relevant processes in models
- Improving passive microwave snow depth products
- Optimal Interpolation of different snow depth data sets
- Model studies on the impact of snow volume on Ku-Band radar





SNOW!

Sea Ice!

Thanks to  
**Marcel Nicolaus** and **Stefanie Arndt**  
for providing significant input

Source: R. Ricker