Sentinel-1 InSAR AP workshop

Sentinel-1 InSAR progress and experience at GAMMA

U. Wegmüller, C. Werner, A. Wiesmann, T. Strozzi Gamma Remote Sensing AG

- Progress made since S1A Expert Users meeting at ESRIN held on 18-Sep-2014
- S1 TOPS InSAR methodology used
- Results: DINSAR phase and coherence



S1 IWS Implementation issues:

- book-keeping
- strong doppler centroid variation
 - adapt SLC interpolation
 - mis-registration effects

S1 IWS Implementation status (as reported in Sep. 2014):

- most of book-keeping done
- adaptations for strong doppler centroid variation ongoing

S1 IWS Implementation status (Dec. 2014):

- adaptations for strong doppler centroid variation:
 - S1 TOPS co-registration procedure established
 - successful generation of full frame differential interferograms



S1 IWS SLC co-registration procedure:

- 1) Geocoding of multi-look MLI mosaic (→ refined geocoding lookup table, geocoded backscatter, DEM heights in MLI SAR geometry)
- 2) Calculate S1 TOPS SLC co-registration lookup table (considering terrain topography)
- *3) Refinement of co-registration using intensity matching procedures*



Example of an S1 differential interferogram after step 3

Phase jumps visible at burst interfaces





S1 IWS SLC co-registration procedure:

- 1) Geocoding of multi-look MLI mosaic (→ refined geocoding lookup table, geocoded backscatter, DEM heights in MLI SAR geometry)
- 2) Calculate S1 TOPS SLC co-registration lookup table (considering terrain topography)
- *3) Refinement of co-registration using intensity matching procedures*
- 4) Refinement of co-registration using spectral diversity method (considering double difference phase of burst overlap regions)



Example of an S1 differential interferogram after step 4

No more phase jumps at burst interfaces



S1 IWS SLC co-registration procedure:

- 1) Geocoding of multi-look MLI mosaic (→ refined geocoding lookup table, geocoded backscatter, DEM heights in MLI SAR geometry)
- 2) Calculate S1 TOPS SLC co-registration lookup table (considering terrain topography)
- 3) Refinement of co-registration using intensity matching procedures
- 4) Refinement of co-registration using spectral diversity method (considering double difference phase of burst overlap regions)
- 5) S1 TOPS burst SLC resampling to master geometry (considering procedure that takes into account the strong Doppler Centroid variation in azimuth)
- 6) Simulation of topographic phase
- 7) Calculation of differential interferogram



S1 DINSAR over Iraq (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 7m)





S1 DINSAR over Iraq (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 7m)



S1 TOPS Coherence product, RGB of coherence (red), backscatter (green) and backsdcatter change (blue)



S1 DINSAR over Iraq (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 7m): section



S1 DINSAR over Iraq (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 7m): section



S1 DINSAR over Iraq (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 7m)



S1 differential interferogram, geocoded to geogr. coord., unwrapped



S1 DINSAR over Etna (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 123m)





S1 DINSAR over Etna (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 123m)



S1 TOPS Coherence product, RGB of coherence (red), backscatter (green) and backscatter change (blue)



S1 DINSAR Etna (VV, dt 12 days, B₁ 123m): section



S1 DINSAR Etna (VV, dt 12 days, B, 123m): section



S1 DINSAR over Etna (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} 123m)



S1 differential interferogram, geocoded to geogr. coord., unwrapped



Remarks:

1) Co-registration procedure also worked in this case with large areas without coherence



S1 DINSAR over Mexico (VV, dt 12 days, B_{\perp} -3m)





S1 DINSAR over Mexico (VV, dt 24 days, B_{\perp} 64m)





S1 DINSAR over Mexico (VV, dt 36 days, B_{\perp} 54m)





Remarks:

- All scenes were registered to the same master (first scene)
 → all combination resulted in seamless differential interferograms
- 2) Methodology was applicable for the longer (36 days) interferogram



Conclusions:

- 1) Procedure for S1 TOPS interferometry was presented
- 2) Seamless differential interferograms demonstrate:
 - the S1 data are suited, no special positional or phase adjustments between bursts or sub-swaths were necessary
 - the procedure used is suited
- 3) S1 IWS coherence is useful for landuse characterization and parameter retrieval. Over forest the 12 day repeat interval results in mostly very low coherence values which is useful for forest non-forest discrimination but which has only a very limited potential for forest parameters retrieval. There is some hope that this may improve in the future with S1B and shorter 6-day interval pairs. We observe on the other hand some parameter retrieval potential for lower vegetation but did not have enough suited data to fully consolidate this. For a basic landuse characterization the IWS data is quite well suited and the wide area coverage is of course very attractive.
- 4) SLC co-registration procedure is also suited for subsequent PSI processing (expectation)
- 5) SLC co-registration procedure is also suited for subsequent offset tracking (tested)



Open questions:

- 1) ESA / Copernicus product distribution strategy? (are SLC systematically available or not?)
 → based on this we have to decide if we implement a raw data processor or not
- 2) Will there be consistent large archives available everywhere (e.g. for PSI)?

